



SUBSALIENT

2025

FINANCIAL SERVICES



A forward view of Financial Services M&A in 2025 — analyzing regulation, innovation, and consolidation driving market evolution.



TABLE OF CONTENTS



1

SECTOR BACKGROUND

The Building Blocks of Financial Services	5
Why Financial Services is Strategically Central	9
The Economics of Financial Services: Why Scale and M&A Matter	9
Why 2025 is an Inflection Point	10
Why This Matters for M&A	10

2

KEY THEMES SHAPING FINANCIAL SERVICES M&A

Megadeals and Consolidation: The Search for Scale	13
Private Credit as a Financing Force: How the Money Really Moves	16
Private Equity's Growing Role In Financial Services M&A	19
Regulatory Easing & Capital Requirements; Why SLR Reform Matters	22
Digital Transformation & Fintech Disruptions: Why Payments Set The Pace	26
Regional Dynamics	30

3

KEY THEMES SHAPING FINANCIAL SERVICES M&A

Banking & Capital Markets: Consolidation, Champions, and the Digital Core	34
Insurance & Payers: Scale, Risk, and the Search for Outcomes	39
Asset & Wealth Management: Fee Compression, Distribution Power, and the Alternatives Flywheel	44

TABLE OF CONTENTS



5

Payments & Fintech: Where Software, Data, and Money Converge	50
Capital Markets & Exchanges: The Infrastructure of Finance	55
Specialty Finance: The Non-Bank Credit Engine	62
CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK FOR H2 2025 AND BEYOND	
A Market Defined by Bifurcation	70
Subsector Outlooks	70
Cross Cutting Forces to Watch	72
Conclusion	72

01

**SECTOR
BACKGROUND**

Financial Services (FS) Background

When most people hear “financial services,” they think of the high-street bank branch, their mortgage provider, or perhaps the daily swings of the stock market. In reality, the sector is far broader and more intricate. It is the backbone of the global economy — the system that moves money, spreads risk, allocates capital, and builds long-term savings. Without FS, modern commerce and government would grind to a halt: wages would not be paid, businesses could not borrow, infrastructure would not be financed, and households could not insure against life’s uncertainties.

This centrality is also why FS is one of the busiest arenas for mergers and acquisitions (M&A). Consolidation, technological disruption, regulatory change, and the rise of private capital mean the structure of the industry is constantly being reshaped. To understand why deals happen, and why some subsectors attract multi-billion-dollar bids while others quietly consolidate, we need to break down FS into its building blocks.

The Building Blocks of Financial Services

Banking – The Anchor of Financial Services

At its heart, banking is about two things: safeguarding deposits and lending capital.

- Retail banks serve individuals and small businesses. Think of current accounts, savings, mortgages, and credit cards. In the UK, Lloyds and NatWest are familiar examples.
- Commercial banks work with medium and large companies, offering corporate loans, trade finance, and cash management.
- Investment banks focus on capital markets, helping firms raise equity and debt, trading securities, and advising on M&A. Goldman Sachs and Barclays Investment Bank are typical names here.

These three areas often overlap, but together they form the financial plumbing of the economy. When UBS agreed to acquire Credit Suisse in 2023—a deal executed at breakneck speed during a crisis—it demonstrated



both the importance of banking stability and the scale at which consolidation can reshape the industry.

Insurance – Managing Life’s Uncertainties

Insurance is the quiet safety net that enables households and businesses to take risks with confidence.

- Life insurers provide pensions and annuities, helping people plan for retirement.
- Property and casualty insurers (P&C) cover everything from car accidents to natural disasters.
- Reinsurers step in to insure the insurers, spreading risks globally across hundreds of firms and events.

To put this in perspective, when a flood damages homes in Yorkshire, or a hurricane hits the Gulf of Mexico, reinsurers in Zurich and Munich may ultimately be footing part of the bill. Recent M&A, such as Brookfield’s £2.4bn acquisition of Just Group in 2024, shows how insurers with strong positions in pension risk transfers are increasingly sought-after assets in a world of ageing populations.

Asset and Wealth Management – Investing For The Future

If banking moves money, asset management grows it.

- Asset managers (BlackRock, Fidelity) pool capital into equities, bonds, real estate, and increasingly private markets. Their revenues are tied to assets under management (AuM).
- Wealth managers focus on personalised advice for high-net-worth clients, combining investment, estate planning, and tax optimisation.

The industry is undergoing consolidation and diversification. Scale matters because technology, compliance, and distribution costs are rising, while fees on traditional funds are falling. Alternatives like private equity, credit, and infrastructure are the new growth engines.



Example: M&G’s 2025 acquisition of a majority stake in P Capital Partners, a Swedish private-credit firm, showed how mainstream managers are buying into alternatives to secure higher yields.

Payments and Fintech – The New Frontiers

Payments might feel routine to the customer—tapping a card, clicking “buy now”—but behind the scenes lies a vast ecosystem.

- Networks like Visa and Mastercard provide global connectivity.
- Merchant acquirers and processors (Worldpay, Adyen) ensure businesses can accept digital payments.
- Fintechs have emerged as challengers, from UK-based Monzo and Revolut to global buy-now-pay-later leaders like Klarna.

Payments is capital-light, scale-driven, and technology-first. That is why it attracts some of the largest M&A deals in FS.

Capital Markets and Exchanges – Where Securities Meet Investors

Beyond banks and asset managers, exchanges and clearing houses form the backbone of global financial markets. Institutions such as the London Stock Exchange (LSE), Euronext, and the Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) provide the platforms for capital raising, securities trading, and derivatives markets, while also overseeing the clearing and settlement that ensures transactions are completed safely and efficiently. Their revenues come not only from trading activity but also from listings, market data, and technology services, making them profitable and strategically important businesses.

This centrality means that exchanges themselves have become highly sought-after M&A assets. Scale brings greater liquidity, stronger clearing operations, and more integrated services – advantages that encourage consolidation across the sector. In Europe, this trend has been particularly visible as groups compete to build pan-European platforms capable of challenging global players.



Specialty Finance – The “In-Between” Lenders and Service Providers

Not all credit is provided by banks. Specialty finance companies have emerged as critical players in areas where banks are either too constrained by regulation or lack the appetite to lend. These firms are diverse but share common features: they target niche markets, use tailored underwriting models, and often operate outside the traditional deposit-taking model.

Key categories include:

- Consumer finance specialists – firms providing credit cards, point-of-sale finance, auto loans, and “buy-now-pay-later” products. Examples include Discover (credit cards) and Klarna (BNPL).
- Mortgage and housing finance – non-bank mortgage originators and servicers, especially important in the US where they originate the majority of new mortgages.
- Equipment and leasing companies – financing for aircraft, construction machinery, medical devices, and vehicle fleets.
- Specialty lenders for SMEs – focusing on small and medium-sized enterprises that may struggle to access bank loans.
- Financing arms of industrial companies – e.g., GE Capital (historically), John Deere Financial, or Siemens Financial Services, which provide vendor finance to support equipment sales.

Why it matters strategically:

1. Fills gaps left by banks. After the Global Financial Crisis, stricter capital rules (Basel III, SLR) limited banks’ ability to lend in certain areas (like subprime mortgages or small-ticket leasing). Specialty finance firms stepped in, supported by private capital.
2. Asset-backed resilience. Many specialty finance models are built on secured loans (collateralised by cars, houses, or equipment), making them attractive to investors seeking yield with protection.
3. Capital-light scaling. Unlike banks, many specialty finance firms do not hold deposits – instead, they fund themselves via securitisations, private credit, or partnerships with banks. This allows them to scale quickly without the same regulatory overhead.
4. M&A appeal. Predictable, recurring cash flows and defensible niches make specialty finance attractive targets for private equity and strategic buyers. Brookfield and Apollo, for example, have been highly active in acquiring mortgage servicers and consumer-finance platforms.



Connection to private credit:

Specialty finance is increasingly intertwined with the rise of private credit (see Section 2.2). Private credit funds often provide the funding lines or securitisation capacity that specialty finance companies rely on – meaning that M&A in this space is both about platforms (buying the origination and servicing businesses) and about capital partnerships.

Why Financial Services is Strategically Central

Financial services is not just another industry – it is a strategic sector with unique roles for economies and states:

- Policy transmission. Central banks' interest-rate moves and liquidity injections reach the real economy through banks and capital markets.
- National competitiveness. Deep financial markets lower the cost of capital for industry and infrastructure, underpinning innovation and growth.
- Household welfare. FS determines whether families can own homes, protect against disasters, and retire securely.
- Geopolitical leverage. Dollar clearing, sanctions, and capital-market access are tools of statecraft as much as economics.

This dual role – economic and political – makes FS consolidation more scrutinised, more regulated, but also more consequential than in most other sectors.

The Economics of Financial Services: Why Scale and M&A Matter

Unlike manufacturing or consumer goods, FS runs on a model of high fixed costs and low marginal costs:

- Fixed costs: regulatory compliance, cyber defences, fraud monitoring, cloud infrastructure, AI engines, and payments rails.
- Low marginal costs: once the system is built, processing one extra loan, card swipe, or insurance policy is cheap.

This creates network effects and data moats:



- The more transactions, the better the fraud detection.
- The bigger the insurer, the better the risk pooling and pricing.
- The larger the asset manager, the lower the unit cost of compliance and distribution.

For sub-scale players, survival is difficult. This is why M&A is not optional – it is the quickest way to achieve scale, cut duplicate costs, and access capabilities (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025).

Why 2025 Is an Inflection Point

Several converging forces explain why FS is being reshaped so aggressively now:

- Digitalisation and AI are moving from pilots to full-scale rollouts, raising the bar for technology spend.
- Private capital (PE and private credit) has become a decisive force in FS M&A, both as buyer and financier (McKinsey, 2025).
- Regulatory recalibration (e.g., US Supplementary Leverage Ratio reform) may release capital and accelerate bank consolidation.
- Geopolitical fragmentation (sanctions, data localisation, regional payment systems) is forcing firms to regionalise strategies.
- Margin pressures (low interest spreads, fee compression, underwriting scrutiny) make scale and efficiency urgent.

The result: large, transformative deals are back, alongside a steady flow of carve-outs and platform roll-ups.

Why This Matters for M&A

For corporates, M&A is the lever to:

- Achieve scale in capital-intensive or regulated subsectors.
- Buy capabilities (AI underwriting, fraud orchestration, private-markets platforms) faster than they can be built.
- Rebalance portfolios (banks shedding non-core units; insurers divesting low-margin books).



For investors (PE, sovereigns), FS offers:

- Sticky revenues (fees, premiums, processing).
- Regulatory barriers that protect incumbents.
- “Pick-and-shovel” subsectors (payments, brokers, custodians) that scale well and offer predictable cash flows.

For society, FS M&A affects:

- Who controls access to credit.
- The resilience of retirement systems.
- How digital payments infrastructure is governed.
- The balance between competition and consolidation in essential services.



02

**Key Themes
Shaping
Financial
Services M&A**

Megadeals and Consolidation: The Search for Scale

Financial services M&A in 2025 is being reshaped by the resurgence of megadeals — transactions valued above USD 5 billion. These are not routine transactions; they are rare, transformative bets that reset competitive dynamics across subsectors. While deal volumes overall have been flat compared to 2024, aggregate deal value has surged because a small number of very large transactions are pulling the market upwards (PwC, 2025).

Why Megadeals Are Back in 2025

The renewed appetite for megadeals is not about opportunism. It is driven by a structural equation: financial institutions face mounting fixed costs, thinning margins, and disruptive challengers, and only scale provides the oxygen to compete.

Compressed margins:

- For banks, net interest margins remain under pressure from competition and regulatory costs.
- For asset managers, passive investing has driven fees down to near-zero for core products.
- For payments processors, razor-thin per-transaction margins mean profitability depends on massive volume.
- In all cases, acquiring scale is the most direct way to protect profitability.

Technology costs:

Digital transformation is no longer optional. Incumbents must fund AI-driven underwriting, real-time fraud detection, cyber defences, and cloud migration. JPMorgan alone spends over USD 12bn annually on technology — a level smaller competitors cannot match (EY, 2025). A megadeal allows firms to spread these costs across a broader revenue base.



Competitive disruption:

Challenger banks, fintechs, and even Big Tech are eroding traditional franchises. Apple Pay and Google Pay are eating into banks' payment interfaces; Stripe and Adyen are winning merchant volumes; private credit funds are pulling corporate lending away from banks. For incumbents, buying rivals is faster than reinventing business models internally.

Investor pressure:

Public market investors are demanding higher returns on equity and visible synergy capture. Large transactions provide a tangible narrative: cut overlapping costs, consolidate platforms, and achieve double-digit returns on invested capital.

These structural pressures explain why, as PwC (2025) highlights, 10 megadeals were announced in the first half of 2025, compared with just six in the same period of 2024 – the sharpest increase since before the pandemic.

Landmark Deals of 2025

The largest deals of H1 2025 illustrate three different strategic logics underpinning megadeals:

1. Global Payments' USD 24.25bn bid for Worldpay
 - Strategic rationale: Build a payments giant capable of challenging Visa and Mastercard by combining merchant acquiring and processing at global scale.
 - Implication: Payments is a network business – the bigger the network, the lower the cost per transaction, and the stronger the ability to invest in fraud, data, and embedded finance. This is an “arms race” deal: whoever builds the broadest platform first wins.
2. Monte dei Paschi di Siena's USD 13.9bn bid for Mediobanca
 - Strategic rationale: Create an Italian “national champion” that can compete regionally and support sovereign industrial policy.
 - Implication: In Europe, megadeals often carry political as well as financial logic. Policymakers see stronger banks as critical to absorbing shocks, financing energy transition, and competing with US/Asian giants.
3. FIS's USD 13.5bn acquisition of Global Payments' Issuer Solutions unit
 - Strategic rationale: Carve-outs and portfolio reshaping. Global Payments sharpened its focus on merchant services, while FIS doubled down on issuer processing.



- Implication: Not all megadeals are about expansion. Some are about strategic focus – pruning portfolios to double down on the most competitive verticals.

Together, these transactions highlight how scale, sovereignty, and focus are the three dominant logics driving megadeals in FS today.

The Risks — And Why Firms Proceed Anyway

Megadeals come with formidable execution challenges:

- Regulatory scrutiny: Banking mergers face heavy antitrust and prudential review. Cross-border deals, in particular, are vulnerable to political vetoes.
- Integration complexity: IT systems, data architecture, and compliance frameworks are notoriously difficult to merge. Payment platforms especially risk downtime or breaches if integration falters.
- Capital constraints: For banks, acquisitions inflate risk-weighted assets and pressure leverage ratios, sometimes forcing fresh equity issuance.

Despite these risks, executives increasingly conclude that the greater risk lies in standing still. A mid-sized bank or payments processor that avoids consolidation may find itself unable to afford next-generation technology or to withstand fee compression. As PwC (2025) notes, bold consolidation moves are “likely to define the FS M&A landscape in the next cycle.”

Why This Theme Matters for Dealmaking

- For corporates: Megadeals are survival bets. For banks and payments players, they are the only way to spread fixed costs and defend market share.
- For investors: Expect value to be concentrated. A handful of megadeals will dominate total deal value, even if overall volumes stay flat.
- For regulators: Balancing stability, competition, and national interest becomes harder as deals get bigger. Political economy factors – not just economics – will shape outcomes.
- For markets: The sector is bifurcating. The largest players are pulling away through consolidation, while sub-scale institutions risk being marginalised or acquired.



Private Credit as a Financing Force: How Money Really Moves

If megadeals are the headline of FS M&A in 2025, private credit is the engine room. Once a niche asset class, it has surged into the mainstream over the past decade, reshaping who can buy, how fast they can move, and at what price. According to Preqin, global private credit assets under management (AUM) have risen from less than USD 500bn in 2015 to more than USD 1.6trn in 2025 – a more than threefold increase in just a decade (Preqin, 2025).

What Is Private Credit?

Private credit refers to non-bank lending, where investment funds (often managed by private equity houses, asset managers, or specialist debt funds) provide loans directly to companies. Unlike syndicated bank loans or public bonds, these loans are privately negotiated and customised to borrower needs (McKinsey, 2025).

Key features include:

- **Flexibility:** bespoke structures such as unitranche loans, mezzanine debt, or covenant-lite packages.
- **Speed:** approvals often within weeks, compared to months for traditional bank lending.
- **Risk-pricing:** funds can underwrite deals banks avoid, such as carve-outs or firms with volatile earnings (Bain, 2025).

This is particularly relevant in financial services M&A, where regulatory complexity, niche business models, and carve-outs make traditional syndication slow or impractical.

Types Include

- **Senior Loans:** Secured debt with first claim on assets (closest to traditional bank lending).
- **Unitranche Loans:** Combine senior and subordinated debt into one instrument with a blended interest rate – simplifies deal financing.



- Mezzanine Debt: Subordinated loans with higher yields, often paired with equity warrants.
- Preferred Equity / Hybrid Structures: Sometimes private credit blurs into equity, providing flexible financing that sits between debt and ownership.

Why Private Credit Matters for FS M&A

Access to financing determines whether deals get done. In FS, private credit has become a decisive enabler:

1. Bigger, faster deals – Private equity buyers can pre-arrange financing with their own credit arms (Apollo, Blackstone, KKR), entering auctions with “certainty of funds” and outmanoeuvring strategics reliant on slower bank syndication (Bain, 2025).
2. Creative deal structures – Private credit can fund carve-outs (e.g., FIS’s spin-off of Issuer Solutions) where legacy IT and restructuring risk deter banks. Lenders can also provide delayed-draw facilities or hybrid debt/equity packages (PwC, 2025).
3. Resilience amid volatility – Public bond markets can freeze, but private credit funds continue lending, acting as a “shock absorber” of global finance (McKinsey, 2025).

Bain reports that over 40% of FS buyouts above USD 1bn in 2024–25 had a private credit component, either as the primary financing source or within hybrid packages (Bain, 2025).

Why Is Private Credit Growing So Fast?

- Bank retrenchment: Post-GFC regulations (Basel III, SLR, stress tests) made it costlier for banks to hold certain loans, creating white space for private funds (KPMG, 2025).
- Investor demand for yield: Pension funds, insurers, and sovereign wealth funds seek higher returns than government bonds; private credit offers yields of 7–12% with collateral backing (EY, 2025).
- PE-credit synergy: Many private equity groups now run both buyout and credit funds, enabling them to finance their own acquisitions internally and structure deals faster (McKinsey, 2025).



Implications for FS Dealmakers

- For PE buyers: private credit boosts competitiveness by combining capital and flexibility.
- For banks: a double-edged sword – lost lending share, but also opportunities to co-lend or acquire private credit managers (PwC, 2025).
- For strategics (banks, insurers): slower and more constrained access to credit makes it harder to match PE in auctions.
- For regulators: a growing share of lending now sits outside the banking system, raising concerns about transparency and systemic risk (KPMG, 2025).

Why This Theme Matters

Private credit doesn't just move money – it moves deals. It has shifted the competitive balance of FS M&A by giving private equity unprecedented firepower, enabling them to compete directly with – and often outbid – strategic buyers.

- For corporates, this means aligning with private credit providers or risk being left behind.
- For investors, it ensures FS M&A remains well-funded even during volatility.
- For regulators, it highlights the need for oversight in a fast-growing but lightly regulated market (EY, 2025).



Private Equity's Growing Role in Financial Services M&A

If there is one constant across financial services M&A in the last decade, it is the growing presence of private equity (PE). But in 2025, the story is not just about activity levels – it is about how PE is reshaping the sector's competitive dynamics.

Why Private Equity Is So Active in Financial Services

Private equity (PE) funds pool money from institutional investors (such as pension funds, endowments, insurance companies, and sovereign wealth funds) as well as wealthy individuals. They use this capital to acquire companies, improve their performance, and later sell them at a profit. This model has existed for decades, but in the past ten years PE has evolved from a “financial engineering” business into one of the most powerful global investors in entire industries.

Financial services (FS) companies – including banks, insurers, asset managers, payment providers, and specialty finance firms – are particularly attractive to PE for structural reasons:

1. Stable, recurring revenues

Most FS firms earn highly predictable income:

- Banks generate interest spreads and fees.
- Insurers earn steady premium income, and policy renewal rates are sticky.
- Asset managers charge percentage-based management fees on assets under management (AUM).
- Payments companies earn a fee on every transaction processed, no matter how small.

This predictability provides the steady cashflows that PE funds need to support their leveraged buyout (LBO) structures – where part of the purchase price is funded by debt that must be serviced.



2. Capital-light business models

Unlike heavy industries that require factories, fleets, or oil rigs, many FS subsectors are capital-light. Payments providers, insurance brokers, and wealth managers, for instance, rely on people, licenses, and IT systems rather than massive physical infrastructure. This means acquisitions require less upfront investment and can generate higher returns on equity.

3. Strong barriers to entry

FS businesses are hard to replicate from scratch:

- Regulation (e.g., banking licenses, solvency capital requirements for insurers).
- Customer trust (clients are reluctant to switch providers for something as sensitive as money).
- Network effects (a payments platform is more valuable when it is widely accepted).

This makes incumbents “moat-like” assets: once acquired, they are difficult for new entrants to disrupt quickly.

From Financial Engineering to Strategy

Historically, PE in FS was often criticised as “financial engineering” – funds bought companies with borrowed money, cut costs, and flipped them after three to five years. In 2025, that model is being replaced by a longer-term, more strategic approach (McKinsey, 2025).

- Insurance broking roll-ups: KPMG (2025) reports that over 40% of European FS deal value in H1 2025 came from PE-backed consolidators in insurance broking. These firms are acquiring dozens of smaller brokers and integrating them into pan-European platforms with stronger bargaining power and cross-sell capabilities.
- Wealth management consolidation: In the US, PE is funding “roll-ups” of Registered Investment Advisors (RIAs). The rationale is that wealthy clients expect personalised, tech-enabled services, which require investment and scale that independents often cannot achieve alone (EY, 2025).

Instead of exiting quickly, PE firms are investing in technology, distribution networks, and talent to create durable platforms – behaving more like industrial owners than short-term financial engineers (Bain, 2025).



Competitive Implications for Strategics

The rise of PE has major consequences for banks, insurers, and asset managers that historically dominated FS M&A:

- Competition for assets: PE firms are credible bidders in megadeals once reserved for strategics, particularly in high-growth niches like payments, fintech, and specialty finance. This has driven valuations upward (PwC, 2025).
- Partnership models: Increasingly, strategics and PE are cooperating. Joint ventures and co-investments are becoming common, particularly in regulated segments where PE lacks licenses or operational know-how but can provide capital (KPMG, 2025).

In effect, PE has gone from a rival to a structural partner in many FS deals.

Why Now?

Two structural drivers explain PE's prominence in FS today:

- Record dry powder: McKinsey (2025) estimates that global PE dry powder surpassed USD 2.5 trillion in 2025, with a significant portion earmarked for FS. This capital must be deployed, and FS offers both growth and defensibility.
- Private credit synergies: Many PE firms now run large private credit arms. This allows them to fund their own acquisitions directly, offering speed and certainty in auctions where banks might hesitate. Bain (2025) notes that more than 40% of FS buyouts above USD 1bn in 2024–25 involved private credit as part of the financing package.

Together, these factors make PE a uniquely well-capitalised and flexible buyer in FS M&A.

The Bigger Picture

Private equity is no longer just another bidder in financial services — it is a structural force reshaping the industry. By consolidating fragmented subsectors (like brokers and RIAs), competing directly for megadeals in payments and specialty finance, and partnering with strategics where regulation requires, PE has become a permanent fixture of the FS M&A landscape (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).



Regulatory Easing & Capital Requirements: Why SLR Reform Matters

Capital Rules Shape M&A

Since the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2008–09, the single most powerful force shaping banks' ability to grow has been capital regulation. Governments and regulators concluded that banks had been allowed to run with too much leverage, meaning they held too little loss-absorbing equity against their assets. When losses mounted, they required bailouts.

To prevent a repeat, regulators raised capital requirements significantly under Basel III. This gave us two main types of capital rules:

1. Risk-weighted capital ratios – Banks must hold more capital against “riskier” loans (like corporate lending or mortgages) than against “safer” ones (like government bonds).
2. Leverage ratios – Banks must hold a minimum level of capital against all exposures, regardless of risk.

The US version of the latter, the Supplementary Leverage Ratio (SLR), is especially restrictive.

What Is the Supplementary Leverage Ratio (SLR) ?

Think of the SLR as a “blunt tool.” Unlike risk-based rules that distinguish between a risky corporate loan and a US Treasury bond, the SLR treats them equally. If a bank holds USD 1bn of Treasuries, it must back that with the same capital as if it held USD 1bn of high-yield loans.



- Introduced in 2014 as part of Basel III implementation, the SLR requires large US banks to maintain Tier 1 capital of at least 5% of their total leverage exposure (4% for subsidiaries).
- This includes not only loans, but also repo positions, derivatives, Treasuries, and central bank reserves.

For banks, the consequence is that even low-risk assets “use up” scarce capital capacity. That makes balance sheets less flexible, reduces returns on equity, and limits appetite for expansion.

What Is At Stake In 2025

In 2025, US regulators are actively considering reforms to the SLR. Even modest recalibration – such as excluding Treasuries or central bank reserves from the denominator – could free tens of billions of dollars in balance sheet capacity for the largest US banks (PwC, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).

For M&A, the implications are direct:

- Oxygen for deals: Large bank mergers involve goodwill, integration costs, and restructuring charges. Under a rigid SLR, these tie up scarce capital. Easing would provide breathing room for consolidation.
- Return to contested businesses: Custody, repo, and other balance-sheet-heavy businesses have been deprioritised by banks because of SLR drag. A looser rule could reignite interest, with M&A as the entry route.
- Investor signalling: Relaxation would be interpreted as regulators declaring banks “safe enough,” boosting equity investor confidence and making it easier to raise fresh capital to fund acquisitions (KPMG, 2025).

From Brake to Catalyst

In the decade after 2008, capital rules acted as a brake: forcing banks to shrink, deleverage, and rebuild trust. In 2025, regulators are increasingly asking whether those brakes are now too tight, particularly as competition from private credit and fintech expands (McKinsey, 2025).



- Private credit rivalry: Non-bank lenders face none of the same capital charges yet now rival banks in corporate lending. If banks remain hemmed in, lending – and the M&A financing that depends on it – will keep migrating to less regulated sectors.
- Competitiveness argument: Policymakers now see bank consolidation as a feature, not a bug. Larger, better-capitalised banks are thought to be safer, more efficient, and more capable of supporting investment in digital infrastructure and green transition (KPMG, 2025).

KPMG (2025) also highlights a parallel shift: regulatory approval timelines for certain US bank mergers have started to shorten, reflecting a more pragmatic stance. This twin-track (capital easing + faster approvals) creates the most deal-friendly environment for US banks in over a decade.

Implications for FS M&A

If SLR reform goes ahead, the effects will cascade across FS M&A:

- Banks as credible buyers again: For years, PE dominated fintech, asset management, and payments auctions, as banks lacked balance sheet room. With more capital freed, banks can re-enter the race for strategically critical assets.
- Levelling the field vs PE: Strategics and PE will now compete more evenly. Where PE has been the default buyer of “capital-heavy” carve-outs, banks may return as contenders (PwC, 2025).
- Regional ripple effects: US reforms often set global precedent. If Washington relaxes, regulators in Europe and Asia may feel pressure to consider similar recalibrations – potentially sparking cross-border consolidation waves (EY, 2025).
- Room for deals. Large bank mergers involve upfront costs: goodwill booked on the balance sheet, restructuring charges, IT integration spend. A stricter SLR makes these costs harder to absorb. Relaxation provides “oxygen” for consolidation.
- Re-entry into low-margin businesses. Many banks pulled back from repo, custody, and other balance-sheet-heavy businesses because the SLR made them uneconomic. With easing, banks may re-expand and use M&A to scale those units.
- Signal to investors. Looser rules are interpreted as a sign regulators believe banks are robust. That boosts investor confidence and makes equity raises (often required in deals) easier to market.



Why Now

Two factors explain the timing:

- Macro stability: With inflation moderating and Tier 1 capital ratios well above pre-GFC levels, regulators feel more confident easing constraints (PwC, 2025).
- Strategic competitiveness: Policymakers fear that if banks remain constrained, private credit funds and Big Tech payment platforms will dominate the financial ecosystem – a less regulated, potentially riskier outcome (McKinsey, 2025).

Thus, reforming the SLR is about rebalancing competitiveness. Instead of being purely a safeguard, capital requirements are being retooled as a catalyst for growth and consolidation.

The Bigger Picture

Capital rules no longer just protect stability; they shape the competitive map of FS M&A. If SLR reform proceeds, we should expect:

- A wave of US regional bank consolidation – mergers that were previously uneconomic suddenly become viable.
- Global banks diversifying into payments, fintech, and asset management – with firepower to challenge PE in contested auctions.
- A global regulatory “tone shift” – from restraint toward enabling banks to compete with non-bank capital providers.

For dealmakers, the lesson is clear: what looks like a dry regulatory tweak can unleash entire cycles of consolidation. In 2025, SLR reform may prove to be the single most important enabler of the next FS M&A wave (PwC, 2025; KPMG, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).



Digital Transformation & Fintech Disruption: Why Payments Set the Pace

Why Payments Matter so Much

When people talk about “financial disruption,” they often think of eye-catching fintech apps or cryptocurrency. In reality, the payments sector is the true engine of change in FS M&A. Payments is the infrastructure that enables every commercial exchange – whether you tap your card for a coffee, wire money across borders, or make an online purchase. The economics are deceptively powerful: each transaction generates a tiny fee, often fractions of a cent. But when billions of transactions are processed daily across global networks, these tiny margins translate into hundreds of billions in annual revenues (Bain, 2025).

Payments firms are particularly attractive because they combine the reliability of infrastructure with the growth profile of technology companies:

- **Recurring revenues:** Every swipe, tap, or transfer creates fee income.
- **Scale economies:** The more transactions processed, the lower the average cost per transaction – creating winner-takes-most dynamics.
- **Data advantage:** Payments networks see who buys what, when, and where. This transaction data is gold for fraud detection, credit scoring, and cross-selling financial products.
- **Innovation pipeline:** Payments is where digital adoption happens first – contactless cards, QR codes, mobile wallets, and blockchain rails (McKinsey, 2025).

Example: Visa generated more than USD 30bn in annual revenues in 2024, almost entirely from processing fees, without taking deposits or lending – a pure “network economics” model (PwC, 2025).



The M&A Wave in Payments

According to Bain's Global M&A Report 2025, payments accounted for the largest share of FS megadeals in 2024–25, far outpacing other subsectors (Bain, 2025). The consolidation logic is clear:

1. Global platforms vs regional champions

- The sector is polarising into a few global giants (Visa, Mastercard, PayPal, Stripe) and regional powerhouses (Adyen in Europe, Ant Group in Asia).
- Smaller processors and niche players are being acquired or rolled into larger platforms to gain scale and reach (PwC, 2025).

2. Embedded finance

- Financial services are increasingly being integrated directly into non-financial platforms.
- Example: Uber embedding payments into its app so riders never see a separate financial transaction.
- Payments firms that power embedded finance – sitting at the crossroads of commerce and banking – are in especially high demand (McKinsey, 2025).

3. Sovereign and PE capital inflows

- Payments is one of the most attractive subsectors for private equity and sovereign wealth funds.
- KPMG (2025) highlights Middle Eastern sovereigns as particularly active, funding acquisitions of payments processors and infrastructure as part of their diversification strategies.

Why Disruption Happens Faster Here

Not all parts of FS are equally open to disruption. Banking is heavily regulated and capital-intensive. Insurance requires actuarial expertise and large reserves. Asset management is sticky, with long-term client relationships. Payments, by contrast, is uniquely “disruptable”:

Technology-First DNA

Payments already run on digital “rails.” Upgrades – whether faster processing, lower fraud, or smoother interfaces – can be rolled out quickly at global scale (McKinsey, 2025).



Customer-Facing Immediacy

Consumers feel the benefit directly: faster checkout, instant cross-border transfers, one-click subscriptions. This visibility accelerates adoption (Bain, 2025).

Interoperability and Network Effects

Payments systems must connect banks, merchants, and consumers. Once a new solution gains traction, network effects spread adoption rapidly across the ecosystem (PwC, 2025).

Example: In the UK, contactless adoption grew from <10% of card transactions in 2015 to >80% by 2023, as banks, merchants, and consumers aligned simultaneously (EY, 2025).

Implications for Dealmakers

- For banks and incumbents
 - Threat: Losing control of payments means losing the customer interface – the most valuable real estate in finance. If Apple Pay or Stripe “owns” the payment moment, the bank risks becoming invisible.
 - Opportunity: By acquiring or partnering with payments firms, banks can secure a place in the transaction chain and preserve direct customer relationships (KPMG, 2025).
- For PE and sovereign investors
 - Payments offers exactly what they seek: high growth, defensible infrastructure, and sticky revenues.
 - Once a network is embedded with merchants and consumers, switching costs are high, making revenues reliable (McKinsey, 2025).

The Bigger Picture

Payments is not just another subsector – it is the frontline of financial transformation. How money moves dictates how the rest of FS evolves, from lending to wealth management.



In 2025, consolidation is accelerating into fewer, larger players with the firepower to dominate digital commerce globally. For investors, owning payments infrastructure means owning the pipes of the digital economy.

For corporates, control over payments is increasingly synonymous with control over the customer.

.



Regional Dynamics: Same Storm, Different Boats

Financial services M&A is global in nature, but it does not play out evenly across regions. Every geography faces the same macro “storm” – inflation, interest rate shifts, regulatory change, and digital disruption – but each sails in a different kind of boat. In practice, this means that dealmaking looks very different in New York compared to London, Dubai, or Singapore.

North America: Megadeals and Private Capital at the Helm

The U.S. remains the world’s largest FS M&A market, but its character has changed.

- **Headline megadeals:** Global Payments–Worldpay (USD 24.25bn) and FIS’s acquisition of Global Payments’ Issuer Solutions (USD 13.5bn) show that America is still the arena for the largest payments consolidations (PwC, 2025).
- **Private capital dominance:** More than 40% of FS buyouts >USD 1bn in 2024–25 used private credit financing (Bain, 2025). PE firms are also bidding directly for banks, wealth managers, and fintechs – a role traditionally reserved for strategic buyers (McKinsey, 2025).
- **Regulatory easing:** Potential reform of the Supplementary Leverage Ratio (SLR) may free tens of billions in balance sheet capacity for banks, re-igniting regional bank consolidation (KPMG, 2025).

Implication: Expect a “barbell effect” – a few blockbuster megadeals at the top, driven by private capital and regulatory space, alongside a selective mid-market where only the strongest strategic fits close.

Europe: Fewer Deals, Bigger Bets



Europe's FS market is slower growing and more fragmented than the U.S., but 2025 has shown surprising strength.

- National champions: Governments and regulators tacitly support the creation of stronger domestic banks (Italy's Monte dei Paschi di Siena–Mediobanca USD 13.9bn bid is the emblematic example). Similar conversations are live in Spain and France (PwC, 2025).
- Insurance consolidation: Deal values in European insurance quintupled in H1 2025 to USD 20.3bn despite slightly lower deal volumes, as carriers seek solvency efficiency under stricter capital regimes (EY, 2025).
- Portfolio pruning: Banks and insurers continue to divest non-core assets, creating carve-out opportunities for PE.

Implication: Europe is a “fewer but bigger” market. Value is concentrated in scale-driven transactions in banking and insurance, while niche fintechs attract targeted bolt-ons.

Middle East: The New Capital Hub

The Middle East is punching above its weight in FS M&A thanks to sovereign wealth funds (SWFs).

- Sovereign firepower: Funds like Saudi Arabia's PIF, Abu Dhabi's Mubadala and ADQ, and Qatar Investment Authority are deploying energy-driven surpluses into FS infrastructure – especially payments, fintech, and insurance (KPMG, 2025).
- Domestic modernisation: Vision 2030 programmes are pushing rapid digitisation of domestic finance, with sovereign-backed acquisitions accelerating consolidation of local banks and insurers.
- Cross-border ambition: SWFs are increasingly co-investors in global megadeals, especially in payments and alternative asset managers.

Implication: For global sellers, the Middle East is both a source of capital and a buyer of assets. For local markets, consolidation is state-directed, aiming to build national champions capable of competing with global players.

Asia-Pacific: Growth Markets with Unique Dynamics



Asia is the most diverse FS M&A landscape — spanning mature markets like Japan and Australia, high-growth middle-income economies, and frontier markets.

- Digital-first banking: Singapore and Hong Kong have licensed virtual banks, triggering partnerships and acquisitions. In Southeast Asia, platforms like Gojek and Grab are embedding payments and lending, often via M&A (Bain, 2025).
- Insurance underpenetration: Life and health insurance penetration in India and Indonesia remains below 5% of GDP, compared with 10–15% in developed markets (EY, 2025). Global insurers and PE funds are aggressively buying into local players.
- Payments innovation: Alipay, WeChat Pay, and India’s UPI dominate their domestic markets. Global investors are racing to back the “next wave” of payment systems in Southeast Asia, where mobile-first adoption is surging (McKinsey, 2025).

Implication: Asia is a growth play. Dealmakers prioritise early entry into underpenetrated segments, even if margins are thin. Unlike in Europe or the U.S., M&A is less about consolidation and more about capturing future growth.

The Bigger Picture

The global FS M&A market moves to a shared rhythm — driven by technology, capital, and regulation — but each region dances to its own tune:

- U.S.: Private capital fuels megadeals, regulatory easing could unleash bank consolidation.
- Europe: Fewer but larger bets, with insurers and banks chasing solvency and scale.
- Middle East: Sovereigns as system-shapers, building domestic champions and exporting capital.
- Asia-Pacific: High growth and digital-first models, with investors chasing penetration and innovation.

For dealmakers, the message is clear: strategy cannot be one-size-fits-all. Regional context — from solvency rules to digital adoption curves — is now just as critical as subsector expertise when planning transactions. In the next chapter, where we will zoom in the subsectors of FS in more detail, regional context and dynamics will be a continuing theme throughout, building on what we have gone through already but with more tailoring to the subsector.



03

Subsector Deep Dive

Banking & Capital Markets: Consolidation, Champions, and the Digital Core

Banking is the anchor of the financial system. It is how central bank policy is transmitted, how households access credit, and how corporations fund investment. Banks also connect directly into capital markets, underwriting bonds, equities, and M&A for others. This dual role – credit provider and market intermediary – makes banking uniquely systemic. When banks restructure, entire economies feel the impact.

By 2025, the sector is again a focal point for M&A because its economics have become unforgiving. Margins are squeezed by flat yield curves, technology spend is surging, and regulators are re-evaluating rules like the SLR. In parallel, investors are demanding efficiency and resilience, while fintechs and non-bank lenders chip away at customer relationships. Together, these forces make M&A less about optional expansion and more about survival.

The Strategic Logic of Consolidation

Scale as a Defensive Necessity

Banking is structurally a high-fixed-cost industry. Compliance, cybersecurity, fraud detection, AI underwriting models, and digital customer platforms cost billions to build. Once in place, however, they scale cheaply. That creates a “scale or fail” dynamic:

- Large banks (JPMorgan, BNP Paribas, HSBC) can spread these costs across vast customer and transaction bases.
- Sub-scale players struggle, with technology costs eating up margins.

Consolidation is therefore a rational response. By merging, banks can:

- Spread fixed costs across larger revenues.



- Reduce duplicate branch networks and back-office teams.
- Strengthen bargaining power with technology vendors and regulators.

Example: In the U.S., Citizens and Truist have pursued acquisitions to achieve scale sufficient to fund full cloud migrations and AI-driven credit models. Without consolidation, such investments would be prohibitively expensive.

Balance-Sheet Rebalancing

M&A is also about reshaping funding and lending books:

- Deposit base expansion: Larger deposit pools lower funding costs, especially important when wholesale funding markets are volatile.
- Loan diversification: Broader loan books reduce concentration risk (e.g., overexposure to real estate or one corporate sector).
- Capital efficiency: Combined institutions can optimise risk-weighted assets (RWAs), freeing up lending headroom.

Example: Monte dei Paschi di Siena's USD 13.9bn bid for Mediobanca in 2025 exemplifies this. By combining Mediobanca's investment banking reach with Monte dei Paschi's retail deposit base, the merged bank would stabilise funding while expanding its growth profile. Policymakers supported the deal as part of Italy's drive to create "national champions."

The Digital Core Imperative

A less visible but equally powerful driver is the need to modernise legacy IT. Many banks still run critical systems on decades-old COBOL infrastructure, which is expensive, inflexible, and fragile. Moving to cloud-based, modular platforms with integrated AI capabilities requires billions in upfront spend and years of execution.

M&A provides the scale to fund this transformation, while also enabling faster technology convergence.

Example: FIS's USD 13.5bn acquisition of Global Payments' Issuer Solutions unit (2025) was not just about market share. It was about consolidating card issuance, fraud detection, and settlement into one modernised infrastructure stack, lowering long-term cost-to-serve and accelerating digital innovation.

Regulatory Recalibration

Regulation is not simply a constraint — it can be a catalyst.



- In the U.S., reform of the Supplementary Leverage Ratio (SLR) could unlock tens of billions in capital capacity. This would free banks to pursue acquisitions that previously would have strained balance sheets.
- In Europe, regulators are encouraging national champion creation, especially in fragmented markets like Italy, Spain, and France. The aim is resilience: banks large enough to support industrial policy and withstand shocks.
- In Asia, regulators are licensing digital banks and fostering domestic champions, nudging incumbents toward acquisitions to retain market share.

This regulatory shift means deals that were unthinkable five years ago are now both possible and politically desirable.

How Deals Are Engineered

Bank M&A is uniquely complex compared to other FS subsectors because of capital, regulation, and infrastructure.

1. Capital ratios and goodwill: Acquisitions create goodwill on the balance sheet, which consumes Tier 1 equity. A USD 10bn deal can reduce CET1 ratios by 30–50 basis points. Buyers must pre-arrange equity raises, divestitures, or RWA optimisation plans to avoid breaching thresholds.
2. System integration: IT integration is the biggest execution risk. Payment failures, ATM outages, or compliance lapses can erode trust overnight. Banks increasingly stage integrations over years, keeping core systems separate initially before harmonising onto a single platform.
3. Cultural integration: Banking is a people business. Corporate bankers' client relationships and wealth managers' personal trust with HNWIs are fragile. Poorly designed incentive systems post-merger can trigger talent flight.
4. Regulatory engagement: Pre-wiring regulatory approval is critical. Politicians weigh competition, systemic risk, and national interest – meaning lobbying and narrative framing matter as much as financial modelling.

Execution Risks



Banking deals offer strategic rewards but carry systemic risks:

- Regulatory scrutiny: Antitrust and prudential reviews are long and politically sensitive. Regulators often demand divestitures, particularly in markets where retail dominance could hurt consumers.
- Too-big-to-fail perception: Larger banks amplify systemic risk, prompting regulators to impose higher capital buffers, reducing deal synergies.
- Legacy liabilities: Acquirers may inherit compliance problems, litigation risks, or non-performing loan portfolios.
- Operational disruption: Merging IT systems is fraught. Past failures, like the RBS–ABN Amro integration debacle, remain cautionary tales.

The Global Map of Consolidation

Unlike payments or insurance, banking M&A reflects distinct national contexts – but the underlying logic is the same: build scale, modernise, and stabilise.

- United States: Regional banks are consolidating to fund digital cores and manage funding costs. If SLR reform is enacted, expect a wave of mid-to-large mergers.
- Europe: Policymakers are nudging toward national champions. The Monte dei Paschi–Mediobanca deal is emblematic of state-backed restructuring. Cross-border M&A remains rare due to regulatory fragmentation, but pressure is mounting.
- Asia-Pacific: Markets like Singapore and Hong Kong are licensing digital banks, which forces incumbents to respond via acquisitions. In China, consolidation is politically managed, but non-bank competitors (Alipay, WeChat Pay) are eroding banks' dominance, prompting strategic rethinks.

Why This Matters

- For banks: Scale is becoming existential. Sub-scale players that cannot fund IT modernisation, absorb compliance costs, or diversify balance sheets face eventual acquisition or decline.
- For investors: Efficiency and digital transformation create upside, but only if integration risk is well managed. Returns hinge less on headline synergies and more on execution discipline.



- For regulators: Approving deals creates stronger institutions, but risks reinforcing “too big to fail.” Balancing stability with competition is the policy tightrope.
- For society: Bank consolidation affects access to credit for SMEs, the resilience of savings systems, and the capacity to finance infrastructure. Well-executed deals can strengthen economies; poorly executed ones can destabilise them.



Insurance: Scale, Risk, and the Search for Outcomes

Insurance and health payers are the financial shock absorbers of modern economies. They allow households, firms, and governments to take risks by pooling uncertainty across vast populations. Without insurers, mortgages would not be issued, pensions would not be secure, and firms could not invest confidently in new projects. In healthcare, payers (whether public schemes, private insurers, or hybrids) determine who receives care, at what cost, and with which providers.

In 2025, this subsector has become one of the busiest theatres of M&A. Ageing populations, pension de-risking, climate-related losses, regulatory shifts, and escalating healthcare costs are reshaping the economics of insurance and payers. As a result, consolidation and vertical integration are no longer optional—they are strategic imperatives (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025).

The Strategic Logic of Insurance M&A

Solvency and Capital Efficiency

Unlike banks, insurers do not rely on deposit bases; they rely on regulatory capital to underwrite long-term liabilities. Frameworks like Solvency II in Europe and Risk-Based Capital (RBC) in the US require insurers to hold capital reserves against expected claims. Larger, diversified insurers can use M&A to lower capital requirements by spreading risks across product lines and geographies.

For example, Athora's £5.7bn acquisition of Pension Insurance Corporation (PIC) in 2025 created the UK's largest pension risk transfer platform.



The strategic logic was not just scale for its own sake, but the capital efficiency achieved by merging two large annuity books—pooling liabilities allowed for better hedging against longevity risk and interest-rate volatility (EY, 2025).

Longevity Risk and Pension De-Risking

One of the most powerful drivers of insurance M&A is demographics. Populations are ageing rapidly across Europe, North America, and parts of Asia. As people live longer, the liabilities embedded in pension and annuity portfolios grow. Corporates have responded by offloading pension risk to insurers—a trend known as pension risk transfer (PRT). This has created a wave of transactions in which insurers with strong actuarial expertise and reinsurance relationships consolidate these liabilities. In July 2025, Brookfield Wealth Solutions' £2.4bn acquisition of Just Group reflected investor appetite for insurers positioned in PRT. Brookfield's plan to merge Just with its existing Blumont platform shows how private capital is backing insurers with the expertise and scale to absorb long-dated liabilities profitably (PwC, 2025).

Distribution and the Broker Flywheel

While insurers focus on capital and risk, distribution is increasingly dominated by brokers and managing general agents (MGAs). Brokers are attractive M&A targets because they are:

- Capital-light: they do not carry insurance liabilities.
- Recurring-revenue: commissions and fees are sticky and predictable.
- Client-sticky: relationships are trust-based, making churn low.

Private equity has pioneered the broker roll-up model: acquiring dozens of regional brokers, stitching them together into platforms, and using scale to negotiate better terms with insurers. This creates a flywheel effect: bigger brokers secure lower rates from carriers, which attracts more clients, which further strengthens bargaining power.

The model has reached new heights in 2025. Arthur J. Gallagher's \$13.45bn acquisition of AssuredPartners—the largest broker deal in US history—illustrates how distribution scale has become as strategically important as underwriting capacity itself (KPMG, 2025).

Health Payers and Vertical Integration



In healthcare, insurers (payers) are under pressure from rising costs, Medicare and Medicaid reforms, and the shift to value-based care. Traditionally, payers reimbursed providers on a fee-for-service basis. Today, reforms push them to manage total outcomes –rewarding fewer hospitalisations, lower readmission rates, and improved chronic-disease control.

This has led to vertical integration, where payers acquire clinics, pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs), or digital-health platforms to better manage outcomes and costs. In early 2025, the merger of Sanford Health and Marshfield Clinic Health System created a vertically integrated payer-provider system spanning insurance, hospitals, and clinics in the Midwest US. Deals like this highlight how insurers are evolving into “payviders” – hybrids that both finance and deliver care (Deloitte, 2025).

How Insurance M&A Is Engineered

Insurance transactions are structurally different from banking or asset management deals. Instead of earnings multiples, they are often priced on embedded value (EV), actuarial assumptions, and regulatory capital efficiency. Deal mechanics often include:

- Reinsurance carve-outs: transferring part of the risk to global reinsurers to smooth volatility.
- Longevity hedges: using derivatives or reinsurance to manage exposure to unexpected increases in life expectancy.
- Portfolio reshaping: divesting low-margin books (e.g., legacy motor insurance) while acquiring high-conviction niches like pension transfer or specialty health.
- Private equity structuring: PE buyers often separate the capital-intensive liability portfolios (reinsured or partnered out) from the capital-light distribution platforms (retained for cashflow).

Regional Dynamics in 2025

Europe

Europe remains the centre of life and pension de-risking. The Athora–PIC and Brookfield–Just Group deals are part of a broader UK consolidation wave.



In continental Europe, Allianz's €3.5bn purchase of Viridium in 2025 showed how scale players are acquiring closed-book consolidators to manage run-off life insurance portfolios efficiently (EY, 2025).

UK General Insurance

Belgium's Ageas acquired UK-based esure for £1.3bn in April 2025, a move designed to expand its personal-lines presence and capture synergies in digital distribution. The deal reflects how even mid-cap insurers are repositioning portfolios for cost efficiency and consumer reach (PwC, 2025).

US

The focus is on Medicare Advantage consolidation. With stricter risk-adjustment audits and tougher Star Ratings, weaker plans are being sold or exited. Regional health plans are either bolting onto larger groups or merging with provider networks to stay viable.

Middle East & Asia

Sovereign wealth funds are increasingly active. In the Gulf, funds like Mubadala and ADQ are investing in regional insurers and PBMs as part of national healthcare diversification. In Asia, insurers are using M&A to capture underpenetrated markets: for example, in India and Indonesia, joint ventures with global insurers target fast-growing middle-class demand (McKinsey, 2025).

Risks and Execution Pitfalls

- Regulatory heat: European regulators scrutinise solvency models; US regulators monitor risk-adjustment coding.
- Longevity surprises: even a small increase in life expectancy can upset actuarial assumptions.
- Catastrophe clustering: natural disasters can hit multiple lines simultaneously, stressing reinsurance markets.
- Integration challenges: broker roll-ups risk cultural clashes; payer-provider integrations risk friction between clinicians and insurers.



Why This Matters

- For insurers: M&A is essential to rebalance portfolios, achieve capital efficiency, and integrate care management.
- For investors: Broker roll-ups and annuity consolidators provide stable cashflows, but require deep due diligence on capital and client concentration.
- For regulators: Must ensure solvency and consumer protection while allowing scale efficiencies.
- For society: Consolidation in insurance directly affects pensions, healthcare affordability, and resilience against climate-related catastrophes.



Asset & Wealth Management: Fee Pressure Meets Private Markets

Asset & wealth management (AWM) is the system that channels household savings and institutional capital into the real economy. Managers collectively oversee ~USD 120tn+ of client assets, earning predominantly basis-point fees on AuM rather than spread income or underwriting profits (PwC, 2025; McKinsey, 2025). Because the model is capital-light and operating-leverage heavy, even small shifts in fees, flows, or markets drive outsized earnings moves—making scale, mix, and distribution the decisive strategic variables (EY, 2025).

In 2025, three structural realities make AWM one of the most active arenas for transformational M&A:

- Fee compression in public markets: active equity and core fixed income fees have trended toward 10–20 bps in institutional mandates and are under sustained pressure from passive substitutes (McKinsey, 2025).
- Cost step-up: data, ESG disclosure, cybersecurity, model-portfolio tooling, and reg-tech (e.g., SFDR/MiFID II in the EU; SEC marketing & liquidity rules in the US; Consumer Duty in the UK) have raised fixed costs materially (KPMG, 2025; EY, 2025).
- Mix shift toward private markets and wealth: private equity/credit, infrastructure and real assets (with 150–200 bps management fees plus performance carry) and advice-led, recurring wealth revenues are outgrowing traditional products (Bain, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).

M&A is therefore not an optional accelerant but the primary lever to realign business models around higher-fee alternatives, advantaged distribution, and modern digital cores (PwC, 2025).



The Strategic Logic of Consolidation

1) Scale as a Defensive Necessity

AWM P&Ls are dominated by fixed costs—data, trading tech, risk/OPS platforms, enterprise cyber, and regulatory reporting—while marginal costs per extra dollar of AuM are low. This creates a “scale or squeeze” dynamic: large managers distribute fixed costs over trillions in AuM and negotiate better rates with data vendors/custodians; sub-scale peers see operating margins compress despite stable gross fees (McKinsey, 2025; EY, 2025). Consolidation:

- Protects margins by eliminating duplicative investment platforms and harmonising operations (portfolio accounting, performance/risk, client reporting).
- Improves platform distribution economics (e.g., model portfolios embedded on wirehouse/retirement menus).
- Strengthens pricing power with service providers (KPMG, 2025).

2) The Alternatives Imperative

Public-market beta is commoditised; alpha is scarce and expensive to produce. Acquiring private credit, secondaries, infrastructure, real estate debt, continuation-vehicle, and NAV-financing capabilities accelerates fee-mix shift and embeds locked-up, performance-fee optionality (Bain, 2025). Rather than greenfield builds (slow, talent-scarce), buyers:

- Acquire specialist GPs or minority GP-stakes (10–25%) to access carry streams and co-invest rights.
- Bolt on credit origination verticals (asset-based finance, specialty lending) to meet insurer/wealth demand for income (PwC, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).
- Add secondaries & continuation funds to smooth vintage risk and stabilise fee durability through cycles (Bain, 2025).

3) Distribution as the Durable Moat

Control of end-client channels has migrated to wealth and retirement platforms: RIAs, private banks, workplace DC schemes, advised model portfolios. Flows increasingly follow model-portfolio placement and model marketplace shelf space, not brand alone (EY, 2025).

That is why sponsors are:

- Rolling up RIAs (US), consolidating compliance, data, TAMPs, and client experience.
- Acquiring retirement record-keepers and wealth-tech to secure default positions in model menus.



- Forming insurer partnerships to seed income products (annuities) with manager sleeves (KPMG, 2025; Bain, 2025).

4) Data & Digital Personalisation

Direct indexing, tax-optimised SMAs, and AI-assisted advice require modern data planes (clean reference data; unified client/proposal engines). Many managers are buying wealth-tech and data orchestration firms because retrofitting legacy stacks is slower and riskier than inorganic lift-ins (EY, 2025; PwC, 2025).

How Deals Are Being Engineered

- GP-stakes & revenue-sharing: Minority stakes in alternative managers at 10–15× fee-related earnings (FRE) with structured rights: preferred distributions on management fees, participation in carry pools, tag/drag, and succession covenants (Bain, 2025).
- Earn-outs tied to fundraising cycles: Payout tranches triggered by first/second close AuM, DPI/TVPI hurdles, or platform product launches (e.g., private credit interval fund), aligning founders with distribution lift (EY, 2025).
- Wealth roll-ups with adviser retention mechanics: Large cash + rollover equity plus multi-year earn-outs linked to revenue retention (e.g., ≥95%) and NNA; restrictive covenants to prevent client migration (PwC, 2025).
- Insurer–manager JVs: Insurers contribute general account (GA) sleeves; managers provide origination platforms (private credit/ABS). Economic splits allocate spread, fee, and capital relief; Solvency/NAIC optimisation is central (KPMG, 2025).
- Securitised management-fee streams: In selected cases, managers raise term debt against diversified management-fee pools to fund acquisitions without diluting equity (McKinsey, 2025).
- Cross-border constructs: EU/UK buyers entering US wealth via platform acquisitions, while US alternatives firms partner with GCC sovereigns for anchor commitments and regional product vehicles (Bain, 2025; PwC, 2025).

Where Value Is Created (and Lost)



Synergy pools:

- Revenue: shelf-space expansion; cross-selling alts into wealth; model-portfolio penetration; institutional solutions (LDI/OCIO) uplift.
- Cost: consolidation of investment ops (trading, data, performance/risk), rationalised product sets (closing duplicative strategies), and unified client reporting (EY, 2025).
- Capital-light operating leverage: incremental AuM through existing pipes drives high drop-through to EBITDA (McKinsey, 2025).

Failure modes:

- Adviser flight post-roll-up; even 10–15% key-adviser attrition can erase “synergies” (EY, 2025).
- Fundraising gaps in alternatives (vintage cyclicalities, denominator effect) strand fee forecasts (Bain, 2025).
- Greenwashing and disclosure risk (SFDR/MiFID/SEC enforcement) causing product closures, restatements, and reputational damage (KPMG, 2025).
- Tech debt underestimation—costly dual-running legacy OMS/PMS/reporting for years (PwC, 2025).

2025 Deal Motifs to Watch (Depth, not headlines)

Private Credit Everywhere

Managers are racing to own origination across the stack: direct lending, NAV finance, ABL, equipment/real-asset debt, specialty consumer & SME. The draw is durable fee income, insurer demand for spread assets, and product portability into semi-liquid wrappers (interval/tender-offer funds) for wealth channels (Bain, 2025; McKinsey, 2025). Expect:

- Acquisitions of specialty finance platforms to bolt origination onto distribution (see Specialty Finance cross-link).
- Dual-track structures pairing PE sponsor equity with the manager’s private-credit vehicles to fund carve-outs quickly (PwC, 2025).

Secondaries & Continuation Vehicles

As exit markets normalise unevenly, GP-led continuation funds and LP portfolio secondaries institutionalise fee durability. Managers are buying specialist teams to internalise price discovery and harvest carry over longer durations (Bain, 2025).



Retailisation of Alts—With Guardrails

Semi-liquid private credit/real estate funds create monthly/quarterly liquidity, daily-priced feeder sleeves, and liquidity management gates. Acquirers prioritise platforms with strong transfer-agent tech, liquidity stress testing, and compliance to avoid mismatch shocks (EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

Wealth Platforms as Kingmakers

The fight is to be default in model portfolios and workplace plans. Roll-ups that unify proposal tools, tax management, and product shelves see lower churn and higher NNA per adviser. Expect more TAMP acquisitions and custody/clearing adjacencies (PwC, 2025).

Data/AI Inside the Investment Process

Deals that bring data rights (alt data, geospatial, private company KPIs) and model-ops tooling are prized because they raise active hit-rates and compress research cycle time. Buyers pay premiums when IP portability and vendor-lock risk are contractually clear (McKinsey, 2025).

Execution Blueprint (What Best-in-Class Buyers Do)

- Flow underwriting, not just multiple math: diligence down to strategy-level gross sales, redemptions, gate usage, platform placement, and consultant ratings; triangulate NNA quality vs. market beta (EY, 2025).
- People economics: map adviser/key-PM dependency and pre-agree retention ladders (deferred equity, co-investment, carry points) with non-compete/non-solicit teeth (PwC, 2025).
- Product pruning with client consent: merge near-duplicates, close subscale funds, and rationalise share classes without triggering taxable events; communicate early to platforms (KPMG, 2025).
- Tech landing zones first: decide target OMS/PMS, data lake, client reporting, and cyber controls pre-close; avoid dual-running beyond 18–24 months (McKinsey, 2025).
- Regulatory pre-wiring: front-foot ESG disclosures, liquidity risk management, value-for-money/Consumer Duty tests; set Day-1 remediation budgets (EY, 2025).



The Global Map (Brief, Focused on What's Different)

- US: PE-backed RIA roll-ups and alternatives platforms dominate. Private credit is the headline capability; model-portfolio distribution is the gatekeeper (PwC, 2025; Bain, 2025).
- Europe/UK: Mid-cap manager consolidation to offset cost inflation; heavier ESG assurance lift; insurers deepening ties via capital-efficient ALM mandates (EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).
- APAC: Growth via retirement and private wealth in Japan, Singapore, Hong Kong; cross-border JVs for onshore access (McKinsey, 2025).
- GCC: Sovereigns anchor infra/transition funds and invite JV platforms; managers trade distribution for long-dated capital commitments (PwC, 2025; Bain, 2025).

Why This Matters (Stakeholder Lens)

- Managers: Without scale + alts + distribution, margin erosion is structural. Inorganic is the fastest path to the new mix.
- Wealth platforms/RIAs: Ownership brings NNA resilience and better unit economics—but hinges on adviser retention and superior client UX.
- Insurers/SWFs: Strategic LPs and minority GP-stakes buyers gain fee streams + origination access while shaping product roadmaps for liability needs.
- Clients & regulators: Consolidation can broaden access to private markets and lower total cost via platform efficiencies, but raises questions about liquidity, transparency, and conflicts—hence the emphasis on disclosure, liquidity tools, and conduct (EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).



Payments & Fintech: Where Software, Data, and Money Converge

As discussed before Payments is often described as the “plumbing” of finance – invisible to most consumers but indispensable to every economy. Every time a card is swiped, a digital wallet tapped, or an online purchase completed, a payment processor, network, and bank sit behind the transaction. Although the fee per transaction is minuscule, the scale is vast: trillions of transactions generate hundreds of billions of dollars annually in fees (McKinsey, 2025).

This sector matters in M&A because:

- Recurring, transaction-driven revenues create defensive cashflows.
- Technology-first economics mean that innovation cycles (e.g., fraud detection, tokenisation, contactless adoption) can reshape market share in a matter of years.
- Network effects (more merchants → more consumers → more data → better risk models) drive consolidation.
- Strategic control of payments infrastructure confers leverage across the broader financial services value chain.

The Strategic Logic of Consolidation

1) Scale Economics: “Bigger is Cheaper, and Better”

Payments platforms run on high fixed costs (tech infrastructure, fraud/AML systems) but low marginal costs. The larger the volume, the lower the cost per transaction – creating a “winner takes most” dynamic.

- Large consolidators such as Visa, Mastercard, Adyen, and FIS are able to spread fraud-detection AI and cybersecurity costs across billions of transactions.
- Subscale firms cannot keep up, leading to roll-ups or sales.



Example: Global Payments' USD 24.25bn bid for Worldpay in 2025 was justified on precisely these grounds: eliminating duplicate infrastructure and creating one of the largest merchant-acquiring networks globally (PwC, 2025).

2) Full-Stack Capabilities

Merchants increasingly prefer single vendors that can handle acceptance, issuing, risk management, identity verification, and reconciliation. This “full-stack” demand pushes acquirers to buy bolt-on capabilities.

Example: Stripe's 2024 acquisition of Okay (a developer productivity start-up) may look small, but it reflects the logic of strengthening adjacent capabilities (developer tools, APIs) to deliver seamless merchant experiences (KPMG, 2025).

3) Embedded Finance and Platformisation

Payments are no longer standalone – they are embedded into apps, marketplaces, and platforms. Uber, Amazon, and Shopify integrate payments directly, making the payments provider invisible to the end user but critical to the platform's economics.

Example: Adyen's partnership acquisitions in Asia-Pacific (2024–25) show how global players buy local processors to integrate into regional e-commerce ecosystems (EY, 2025).

4) Data as the Moat

Payments firms see granular transaction data: what was bought, when, where, and by whom. This data is monetised via cross-selling, fraud detection, and credit scoring. Owning payments infrastructure therefore means owning the data exhaust of global commerce.

How Deals Are Being Engineered

Payments & Fintech deals are structured differently from traditional banking or insurance acquisitions:

Carve-Outs

Conglomerates spin off non-core payments assets, which are then bought by processors. Example: FIS's USD 13.5bn acquisition of Global Payments' Issuer Solutions business (2025) is a carve-out deal that created a standalone issuer-processing giant (PwC, 2025).



Cross-Border Expansion

Local licenses and merchant networks are “sticky” and difficult to replicate. Cross-border M&A is often the only way to expand.

Example: Euronet’s 2024 purchase of Piraeus Bank’s merchant-acquiring arm gave it a ready-made presence in Greece.

Private Equity Platforms

PE firms buy mid-sized processors, consolidate them, and sell them to strategics later.

Example: Silver Lake and Abu Dhabi’s Mubadala co-invested USD 1.2bn into Klarna (2024), betting on BNPL as a long-term payments model (McKinsey, 2025).

Structured Partnerships

Where regulation blocks full takeovers, firms enter long-dated joint ventures or minority stakes, often with commercial exclusivity clauses.

Where Value Is Created (And Lost)

- Created through network effects: more merchants and transactions → lower unit costs → richer data → better risk pricing.
- Lost when tech integration fails. Payments platforms are complex stacks of APIs, legacy systems, and fraud engines. Integration failures can wipe out synergies.

Example of value creation: PayPal’s earlier acquisition of iZettle (2018) created a powerful SME-payments channel in Europe, which continues to deliver above-average returns.

Example of failure risk: The FIS–Worldpay merger (2019) destroyed billions in value when integration challenges led to a write-down and eventual spin-off – a cautionary tale still shaping current deal theses (EY, 2025).

2025 Deal Motifs to Watch



AI Fraud and Orchestration

Acquirers are chasing AI-driven orchestration engines that can route payments dynamically and cut fraud losses.

Example: Checkout.com's 2025 acquisition of a fraud-detection start-up in Europe reflects the race to integrate AI orchestration.

BNPL Consolidation

Buy-Now-Pay-Later (BNPL) players like Klarna and Affirm face regulation and credit risk challenges. Expect roll-ups or sales to larger processors with balance-sheet muscle.

Cross-Border Wallet Wars

Alipay, WeChat Pay, Apple Pay, and Google Pay are expanding across borders. M&A is used to secure regional interoperability.

Sovereign and PE Capital

Middle Eastern sovereigns are aggressively backing fintech/payment infrastructure as part of diversification.

Example: In 2024, PIF (Saudi Arabia's sovereign wealth fund) invested USD 600m into Tamara (a BNPL provider), showing sovereigns as pivotal capital providers (PwC, 2025).

Execution Risks

- Integration risk – API, compliance, and fraud systems often don't align.
- Regulatory hurdles – especially around data sovereignty (e.g., India's ban on cross-border payment data transfers).
- Overpayment – fintech valuations are volatile; Klarna fell from USD 46bn to USD 6.7bn in 2023 before partially recovering.
- Technology obsolescence – fraud and authentication systems can be leapfrogged by new protocols.

The Global Map of Payments & Fintech



- United States: Focused on mega-mergers (Global Payments–Worldpay) and BNPL regulation. Big Tech (Apple, Google) are encroaching, pushing incumbents toward defensive consolidation.
- Europe: Highly fragmented, driving bolt-on deals like Nexi’s expansion across Southern Europe. Euronet and Adyen continue cross-border acquisitions.
- Middle East: Sovereigns deploying billions into payments infrastructure (PIF–Tamara, Mubadala–Klarna). Aim is both diversification and domestic digitisation.
- Asia-Pacific: China’s duopoly (Alipay, WeChat Pay) dominates, while India’s UPI system is state-driven. Foreign players use acquisitions/JVs to gain footholds. Example: Adyen’s 2025 partnership with a major Indian e-commerce group shows global–local tie-ups as the entry model (EY, 2025).

Why This Matters

- For banks: Losing payments means losing the customer interface – banks risk becoming invisible balance-sheet providers.
- For investors: Payments combines high growth with infrastructure-like resilience, but requires careful entry to avoid overpaying.
- For regulators: Payments is systemic infrastructure; they must balance innovation with security and competition.
- For consumers and merchants: Consolidation may lower costs and improve reliability – but risks concentration in a few global giants.



Capital Markets & Exchanges: The Infrastructure of Finance

Capital markets transform savings into investment, set the cost of capital, and transmit monetary policy to the real economy. At the centre sit exchange groups and central counterparties (CCPs) that provide listing venues, price discovery, trade execution, and post-trade safety nets. Critically, modern exchange groups are vertically integrated platforms with four reinforcing economics:

1. Listings: Issuers pay initial and recurring fees to access investor capital and index inclusion. Listing clusters (tech in Nasdaq, commodities in CME/ICE) create self-reinforcing ecosystems through analyst coverage, ETF flows, and index mandates (EY, 2025).
2. Markets/Trading: Every executed order pays; higher volumes lower unit cost and fund better matching engines and co-location, which in turn attract more flow—classic network effects (PwC, 2025).
3. Clearing & Settlement: CCPs mutualise counterparty risk and reduce systemic stress; margins, default funds, and waterfalls generate stable fee pools that are less cyclical than trading revenues (Bain, 2025).
4. Information & Technology: Market data, benchmarks, analytics, and SaaS “market-tech” (matching, surveillance, risk) have become the structural growth engine with subscription-like economics and EBITDA margins often >50% (KPMG, 2025).

This model yields high returns on capital and cash-rich balance sheets, making exchange operators prized M&A assets. It also makes them systemically consequential; who owns and governs these platforms affects financial stability, cross-border capital flows, and economic sovereignty (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025).



Anchor example: Euronext's €426m bid for the Athens Stock Exchange (ATHEX) in 2025 is strategically about extending a single technology stack, harmonising rulebooks, and aggregating liquidity/data across a pan-European network—small headline value, outsized network effect (EY, 2025; PwC, 2025).

The Strategic Logic of Consolidation

1) Liquidity Gravity & Price Discovery

Liquidity attracts liquidity. Consolidation collapses fragmented order books into deeper pools, tightening spreads and lowering impact costs for issuers and investors. That virtuous cycle compounds once benchmarks, derivatives, and ETFs reference the dominant venue (Bain, 2025).

2) Volatility Hedging via Diversification

Trading revenues are cyclical; data/indices and clearing are not. Buying data/benchmark franchises (e.g., LSE–Refinitiv) or expanding into CCPs smooths the P&L and raises valuation multiples. In practice, exchanges pivot from “transaction tolls” to “information & risk platforms” (KPMG, 2025; Bain, 2025).

3) Technology Scale & Latency Arms Race

Single core engines (matching, risk, surveillance) serving multiple venues deliver step-change unit costs and performance. Migrating acquired venues onto a common stack (e.g., Euronext's Optiq) lifts reliability, lowers capex/opex, and unlocks cross-market products (PwC, 2025).

4) Policy Mandates & Capital Markets Union

In Europe, deeper domestic capital markets are a policy goal. Regulators tacitly favour consolidation that creates regional champions capable of financing growth, anchoring liquidity onshore, and supporting T+1/T+0 settlement ambitions (KPMG, 2025; EY, 2025).

5) Strategic Moats in Post-Trade

Control of clearing and collateral optimisation is a moat: it sets the margin models, default waterfalls, cross-margining benefits, and collateral pools issuers/investors rely on. Owning the CCP secures annuity-like cash flows and pricing power (Bain, 2025).



How Deals Are Being Engineered

1) Platform Integration M&A (full takeovers)

Playbook: acquire regional exchange/CCP → migrate to the buyer's single matching engine and CCP risk stack → harmonise rulebooks/fee schedules → cross-list derivatives/ETFs → sell one data feed.

Key mechanics:

- IT migration plans (cutover windows, replay, kill-switches) to avoid outages.
- Multi-year fee harmonisation to prevent member flight.
- Clearing interoperability (porting positions, margin offsets, default fund recalibration).
- Regulatory approvals across competition, market structure, and prudential supervisors.
- Example: Euronext-ATHEX (2025) is explicitly framed around migrating trading to Optiq and plugging ATHEX into a single data and index catalogue (EY, 2025; PwC, 2025).

2) Data/Index/Analytics Bolt-Ons

Focus on benchmarks (index IP), ESG/risk analytics, and market-tech (surveillance/SaaS). Contracts are long-dated, with annual escalators and high switching costs.

Example set: LSE-Refinitiv (2020) remodelled LSE's revenue mix toward data; Deutsche Börse-ISS (2021) added ESG proxy/ratings; continued 2024-2025 tuck-ins in analytics and cloud market-tech deepen this moat (Bain, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

3) Minority Stakes/JVs where Sovereignty Bites

If national sensitivity blocks control, exchanges use structured stakes/JVs (board rights, tech exclusivity, data-sharing) to capture economics without triggering political red lines. Example: Cross-border Stock Connect/link models (UK-China, EU neighbourhoods) and minority tech stakes in digital-asset venues to hedge optionality (PwC, 2025).

4) Post-Trade Expansion & Interoperability

Buying or linking CCPs to secure on-shored clearing (EU euro-clearing push) and to offer cross-margining benefits that lock in flow. Expect more clearing carve-outs and collateral-services acquisitions as margin requirements and DORA operational mandates rise (EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).



5) Private Equity in the Adjacencies

PE seldom owns core exchanges due to politics, but is active in market-tech, data carve-outs, and reg-tech providers that sell into exchange ecosystems, benefiting from the same secular growth (Bain, 2025).

What's New In 2025

1) Pan-European Tiering is Hardening

Europe is coalescing around three pillars—Euronext, LSE Group, Deutsche Börse. National bourses below critical mass are aligning to one of these platforms for technology, data, and clearing resiliency. ATHEX 2025 is emblematic (EY, 2025; PwC, 2025).

2) Data > Trading as the Growth Engine

Across leading groups, data/indices/tech approach ~35–40% of revenue with higher incremental margins than trading. 2025 pipelines emphasise ESG analytics, private-markets data, fixed-income pricing, and cloud market-tech (Bain, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

3) T+1 and the Post-Trade Arms Race

The US move to T+1 is pressuring Europe/Asia to compress cycles. That elevates post-trade plumbing—netting engines, fails management, securities lending, and collateral mobility—driving M&A for scale and operational resilience (EY, 2025; PwC, 2025).

4) Digital Assets & Tokenised Securities—Pragmatic Entry

Incumbents are taking minority stakes/JVs in compliant digital-asset infrastructure (custody, tokenised funds/real-assets, wholesale DLT rails). The focus is regulated, institution-grade rails, not retail crypto (KPMG, 2025; PwC, 2025).

5) Regulatory Onshoring of Clearing

Geopolitics and financial-stability agendas are accelerating on-shore mandates (euro-denominated derivatives), favouring local CCP scale-ups and selective acquisitions/alliances (EY, 2025).

Execution Risks



- Regulatory/Antitrust Veto Risk: Exchange combinations can be blocked where they create single-venue dominance in equities/derivatives or where foreign control raises sovereignty concerns (PwC, 2025).
- Cyber & Operational Resilience: EU DORA and UK operational-resilience regimes raise the bar; outages or cyber incidents risk loss of regulatory confidence and member litigation (KPMG, 2025; EY, 2025).
- IT Migration Complexity: Full order-book porting, symbology remapping, market-data entitlements, and CCP position transfers are non-trivial; poor cutovers destroy liquidity.
- Policy Shocks: Pricing caps on market data, consolidated tapes (EU/UK), and onshoring rules can compress economics or force capex (Bain, 2025; PwC, 2025).
- Disintermediation Threats: Alternative trading systems, bilateral internalisation, and DLT-based settlement could erode centrality if incumbents under-invest (KPMG, 2025).

The Global Map of Consolidation

United States

Market structure is mature—CME, ICE, Nasdaq dominate. 2025 focus is on data/indices (energy, ESG, real-time analytics) and clearing innovation. Expect bolt-ons in market-tech and risk analytics; transformational exchange-on-exchange M&A is unlikely due to antitrust (PwC, 2025; Bain, 2025).

Europe

Consolidation axis: Euronext–LSE–Deutsche Börse. Deals emphasise regional bourse integration (ATHEX), data/ESG/risk analytics, and euro-clearing scale. Policy supports capital-markets deepening, but data pricing and “tape” debates affect monetisation (EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

Asia-Pacific

HKEX leans into commodities and Stock Connect; SGX positions as a multi-asset hub with ASEAN reach; JPX pursues derivatives and clearing upgrades. China’s exchanges remain state-directed with domestic depth; cross-border is governed via connect schemes (PwC, 2025; Bain, 2025).



Middle East

Tadawul and ADX are scaling listings, data, and post-trade with sovereign backing, targeting regional leadership and global index inclusion inflows. Market-tech partnerships and index JVs are preferred structures (KPMG, 2025).

Emerging Markets (LatAm, Africa)

B3 is a LatAm heavyweight; others explore regional linkages (African Exchanges Linkage Project). Constraints: liquidity depth, FX, and infrastructure. M&A more likely in market-tech and data services than core exchanges (EY, 2025).

Why This Matters

- For issuers: Venue choice shapes cost of capital, index inclusion, analyst coverage, and liquidity. Consolidation can lower frictions but may reduce competitive fee tension (PwC, 2025).
- For intermediaries/investors: A single stack lowers connectivity and data-entitlement complexity; richer analytics and cross-margining improve capital efficiency (Bain, 2025).
- For operators: Strategy must tilt to data, benchmarks, and post-trade, with disciplined platform migrations and cyber programmes to meet DORA-grade resilience (KPMG, 2025).
- For regulators/policymakers: Exchange ownership and on-shore clearing are levers of financial sovereignty. The trade-off is between stability (scale) and competition (choice) (EY, 2025).
- For society: Robust, well-governed exchanges lower systemic risk and fund growth. Poorly executed consolidation can concentrate risk and impair market fairness.

Illustrative 2024–2025 Case Set (For Reference Inside This Section)

- Euronext → Athens Stock Exchange (2025, €426m): Regional network build-out; Optiq/CCP integration and single data catalogue logic (EY, 2025; PwC, 2025).



- LSE → Refinitiv (landed earlier; strategy maturing in 2025): Revenue mix pivot to recurring data/indices; ongoing analytics tuck-ins (Bain, 2025).
- Deutsche Börse → ESG/analytics bolt-ons (post-ISS): Rounding out governance/ESG stack and index IP (KPMG, 2025).
- US majors (CME/ICE/Nasdaq): Focus on market-tech, data, and cleared products adjacency rather than core exchange M&A due to antitrust (PwC, 2025; Bain, 2025).



Specialty Finance: The Non-Bank Credit Engine

Specialty finance fills credit and risk-transfer gaps that regulated banks cannot—or prefer not to—serve. It spans consumer finance (credit cards, auto, POS/BNPL), mortgage origination & servicing, equipment & vendor finance, SME/asset-based lending (ABL), structured finance & securitisation platforms, insurance-linked and pension-risk transfer adjacencies, and special situations/near-prime credit. These businesses are typically capital-light (relative to banks), data-driven, niche-focused, and funded through warehouses, term securitisations, and increasingly private credit rather than insured deposits (PwC, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).

The Strategic Importance Is Threefold:

1. Credit intermediation capacity. Post-GFC capital rules and leverage constraints reduced banks' appetite for certain exposures; specialty finance scaled to maintain credit availability in autos, equipment, and non-conforming mortgages (KPMG, 2025).
2. Speed and customisation. Specialist underwriters price granular risk with alternative data and sector-specific collateral models, enabling rapid, tailored credit that generalist banks struggle to replicate (EY, 2025).
3. Securitisation & risk transfer. Robust originate-to-distribute pipelines recycle capital efficiently. When paired with private credit lines, these platforms can scale without the balance-sheet drag seen in banks (Bain, 2025).

Result: specialty finance assets offer recurring, model-driven cashflows, defensible niches, and M&Aable platform characteristics—with PE, insurers, and sovereign capital playing central roles as owners and funders (PwC, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).



The Strategic Logic of Consolidation

1) Cost of capital & funding diversification.

Scale lowers warehouse spreads, improves advance rates, and reduces overcollateralisation in term ABS, compounding ROE. Larger platforms win better forward flow and whole-loan sale terms, and can lock multi-year private credit commitments that sub-scale peers cannot (McKinsey, 2025; EY, 2025).

2) Data moats & underwriting edge.

Cohorts, loss curves, telematics, payroll and open-banking feeds, device telemetry (for equipment), and servicing behaviour data accrue with scale. Better data → tighter pricing bands → lower loss volatility → better securitisation execution → cheaper funding—a reinforcing loop (Bain, 2025).

3) Distribution breadth & OEM/vendor tie-ins.

In equipment and auto, captive-like programs with manufacturers (medical devices, construction machinery, fleets) are sticky and multi-cycle. Consolidators buy to secure OEM channels and bundle embedded insurance/maintenance to increase lifetime value (PwC, 2025).

4) Regulatory perimeter arbitrage (responsibly).

Specialists operate under narrower, often non-bank licences with focused compliance stacks. They can enter or exit niches faster than universal banks—e.g., near-prime POS finance—while partnering with banks for funding or origination under bank charters (KPMG, 2025).

5) Insurer & pension adjacency.

Insurers and retirement platforms value asset-backed, amortising cashflows to match liabilities. Minority/majority stakes in specialty lenders and origination platforms give origination access + yield, with ALM benefits (EY, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).

How Deals Are Being Engineered



1) Platform + flow M&A (buy the engine and the fuel).

Acquirers take control of the origination/servicing platform and simultaneously secure multi-year forward flow, warehouse upsizes, and term ABS take-outs. Interdependency between corporate M&A and capital markets is pre-wired at signing (PwC, 2025).

- Mechanics:
 - Committed facilities from private credit funds (drawdown schedules, MAC-light) to ensure continuity post-close.
 - Exclusivity windows with OEMs/merchants.
 - Call protection and excess spread sharing to align seller/management.
 - Servicing retention with step-downs contingent on performance KPIs.

2) Buy-and-build in fragmented verticals.

Roll-ups stitch regional originators/servicers to standardise tech (LOS/LMS), centralise funding, and harmonise scorecards. Value creation comes from funding basis reduction, loss-given-default (LGD) optimisation (repossession, remarketing), and opex consolidation (Bain, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

3) Carve-outs from banks and OEMs.

Non-core portfolios or captive finance units are carved out with TSAs for IT, treasury, and regulatory reporting. Buyers often re-platform onto cloud LOS/servicing stacks within 12–24 months to unlock unit economics (EY, 2025).

4) Insurer-led partnerships.

Insurers acquire minority or control stakes to secure origination and structure funding sleeves that feed their general accounts (asset-backed, duration-matched). Governance rights focus on underwriting changes, stress triggers, and eligible collateral (McKinsey, 2025; PwC, 2025).

5) Securitisation-aware pricing & earn-outs.

Consideration is increasingly tied to ABS execution: earn-outs linked to target coupons vs benchmarks, collateral performance (delinquency/charge-off corridors), EIR realisation, and excess spread capture. This aligns underwriting discipline post-close (Bain, 2025).

What's New In 2025



1) Private credit as primary lifeline.

With banks selective and public ABS windows intermittent, private credit warehouses and club term loans are bridging origination cycles—often at speed and with structuring flexibility (McKinsey, 2025). This has tilted bargaining power toward PE-backed consolidators who can show committed financing at signing (PwC, 2025).

2) Normalisation in consumer credit—granular not generic.

Losses have normalised above 2021 troughs but below stress scenarios; dispersion is wide by cohort (BNPL, sub-prime auto vs prime). Platforms with real-time repayment telemetry and dynamic hardship tooling are outperforming static scorers, attracting premium valuations (EY, 2025; Bain, 2025).

3) Mortgage & servicing bifurcation.

Origination volumes remain rate-sensitive, but MSR (mortgage servicing right) economics are attractive; servicers with scale, escrow optimisation, and digital collections are consolidating books with operational synergies (KPMG, 2025).

4) Equipment & healthcare vendor finance outperformance.

Capex replacement cycles in construction/medical devices and fleet electrification are sustaining high-quality ABL/lease demand. Green equipment (EV fleets, distributed energy assets) supports “green ABS” with preferential demand (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025).

5) Compliance maturation in POS/BNPL.

Regulatory guardrails (affordability checks, disclosures, complaints handling) have raised barriers to entry; scaled, compliant platforms are acquiring sub-scale niches and merchant portfolios at rational prices (KPMG, 2025).

Execution Risks

- Funding fragility: Reliance on warehouses and ABS requires constant market access; a window closure or collateral performance wobble can force originations down or trigger covenants (PwC, 2025).
- Model risk & data drift: Scorecards can “age”; macro shifts (wage volatility, used-car price swings) break historical loss curves. Governance must include challenger models and out-of-time validation (Bain, 2025).



- Regulatory perimeter shifts: UDAAP/consumer-duty style rules, fair-value and forbearance mandates, or small-business lending disclosures can raise costs and cap APRs in niches (EY, 2025; KPMG, 2025).
- Servicing & conduct risk: Collections practices, repo/remarketing, and hardship handling are reputational flashpoints. Acquirers inherit complaints backlogs and litigation tails if diligence is shallow (PwC, 2025).
- Concentration & partner risk: Over-reliance on a single OEM, merchant, or flow-buyer raises renewal and pricing risk; diversification is a strategic KPI (McKinsey, 2025).
- IT re-platform risk: LOS/LMS migrations can disrupt boarding/collections; phased migrations with parallel run and rollback plans are essential (Bain, 2025).

The Global Map of Consolidation

United States

Deepest specialty finance ecosystem across auto, cards, mortgage, student, equipment.

2025 activity skews toward:

- Servicing/platform M&A (MSR scale-ups, non-QM specialists).
- Auto & equipment roll-ups with telematics-enhanced underwriting.
- Insurer/PB (private balance sheet) partnerships for asset-backed yield.
- Private credit funds anchor warehouses and club term loans, often stapled to bids (EY, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).

Europe/UK

Regulatory tightening (consumer-duty regimes, affordability) has weeded out sub-scale consumer lenders; survivors consolidate portfolios and merchant books.

Equipment/vendor finance and factoring/ABL are key roll-up arenas, with banks divesting non-core portfolios (PwC, 2025; KPMG, 2025).

GCC/Middle East

Rapid growth in salary-linked consumer, SME ABL, and vendor finance for healthcare/industrial equipment. Sovereign-linked investors back platforms and pair them with regional securitisation initiatives; Sharia-compliant structures open additional pools (EY, 2025).



Asia-Pacific

Non-bank mortgage/consumer growth in Australia/NZ; SME fintech lenders scaling in SE Asia with supply-chain data. Japan/Korea equipment financiers expand regionally. Funding relies on local ABS plus cross-border private credit (PwC, 2025; Bain, 2025).

Latin America & Africa

Niche growth in merchant cash advance, agri ABL, handset/device finance; FX and macro volatility elevate funding costs, pushing partnerships with global private credit and development banks (KPMG, 2025).

How to Underwrite (What “Good” Looks Like)

- Funding stack quality: Length and diversity (multiple warehouses, term ABS track record, committed private credit back-stops); concentration limits per counterparty (PwC, 2025).
- Unit economics clarity: Fully loaded CAC, EIR/NSF, loss timing, roll-rate trees, cure dynamics, and repossession/realisation efficiency (Bain, 2025).
- Cohort analytics discipline: Vintage/segment profitability, macro-sensitivity ladders, and dynamic cut-credit rules; governance around model recalibration (EY, 2025).
- Servicing excellence: Digital collections penetration, hardship toolkits, net loss vs gross reconciliation, and compliance QA scores (KPMG, 2025).
- Partner durability: OEM/merchant contracts (tenor, exclusivity, step-downs, take-or-pay), renewal history, and embeddedness of tech at point of sale (PwC, 2025).
- ESG & conduct posture: Complaint rates, forbearance outcomes, fee-fairness frameworks; essential for valuation and financing access (EY, 2025).

Why This Matters

- For banks: Partner rather than compete head-on—supply funding, buy whole loans, or take minority stakes to access origination and yield without full operational build-out (KPMG, 2025).



- For insurers/pensions: Specialty finance offers collateralised, amortising cashflows aligned to liabilities; platform stakes plus funding sleeves create durable ALM matches (McKinsey, 2025).
- For PE/sovereigns: Classic platform economics—repeatable underwriting, funding basis improvement, and adjacencies (embedded insurance, servicing for third parties) support multi-year value creation and exit optionality (Bain, 2025; PwC, 2025).
- For policymakers/consumers: Scaled, well-governed platforms sustain credit access while enforcing fair-value and hardship standards; poor conduct risks political backlash and value destruction (EY, 2025).

Illustrative 2024–2025 Case Set (For Reference Inside This Section)

- Insurer-backed expansion into specialty credit: Large life insurers and their asset-management affiliates extending into consumer and equipment credit via stakes/JVs with specialty platforms—securing origination plus ABS take-out capacity (EY, 2025; McKinsey, 2025).
- Mortgage servicing consolidation: Acquisitions of MSR books and servicers with digital adjacencies; economics anchored in scale efficiencies and escrow optimisation (KPMG, 2025).
- Auto & equipment finance roll-ups: Regional operators combined under a single funding stack and telematics-driven underwriting, improving advance rates and ABS execution (PwC, 2025).
- BNPL/POS rationalisation: Scaled, regulated players acquiring sub-scale portfolios/merchant relationships; pricing/affordability aligned to new consumer-duty regimes (Bain, 2025).



04

**Outlook for H2
2025 and
Beyond: What
the Trends Imply
and What to Do**

Outlook for H2 2025 and beyond

A Market Defined by Bifurcation

The outlook for FS M&A in the end of 2025 and in 2026 is one of divergence: on one side, a handful of transformative megadeals reshaping the competitive landscape; on the other, steady but more selective mid-market activity driven by private equity and carve-outs. Aggregate deal values will remain elevated, even if volumes stay flat or edge up slightly (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025).

Key dynamics shaping the outlook:

- Megadeals will continue: Banking consolidation in Europe (national champions) and payments consolidation in the US will dominate headlines. Expect 3–5 additional USD 10bn+ transactions by year-end (PwC, 2025).
- Private capital's primacy: PE and private credit will anchor deal financing, with McKinsey (2025) projecting >40% of FS buyouts over USD 1bn to have a private credit component.
- Selective exits: The reopening of IPO markets for fintechs and asset managers gives sellers optionality, which will stabilise valuations and may trigger competitive auction dynamics (Bain, 2025).

Subsector Outlooks

Banking & Capital Markets

- Europe: Expect further “national champion” consolidation in Spain, France, and Italy. Policymakers are signalling tacit support.



- US: SLR reforms could unlock balance-sheet space, driving regional bank mergers. Deals will focus on technology core modernisation as much as balance-sheet scale (KPMG, 2025).
- Asia-Pacific: Regulators in Singapore and Hong Kong continue to license digital banks, pushing incumbents toward acquisitions to defend share.

Insurance & Payers

- Medicare Advantage shakeout: In the US, we will likely see exits of sub-scale MA books as Star Ratings pressure margins.
- Europe: Consolidation in life and P&C will continue, driven by solvency efficiency.
- GCC: Sovereign-backed consolidation of Takaful and managed-care providers will accelerate, aiming for scale and modernisation (EY, 2025).

Asset & Wealth Management

- Traditional managers will double down on acquisitions of alternatives platforms (private credit, infrastructure, secondaries).
- Wealth management roll-ups (RIAs in the US, boutiques in Europe) will remain steady, supported by PE dry powder.

Payments & Fintech

- Payments will stay the hottest subsector. Expect more carve-outs of legacy units, bolt-ons of fraud/ID specialists, and mega-mergers that consolidate fragmented rails into full-stack platforms (Bain, 2025).
- Embedded finance will spur acquisitions of niche providers enabling integration into e-commerce and B2B platforms.

Capital Markets & Exchanges

- Regional consolidation will continue, especially in Europe, where Euronext's Athens bid is likely a precursor to further roll-ups.
- Technology-driven adjacencies (market data, cloud clearing services) will attract PE and sovereign wealth fund bids (PwC, 2025).

Specialty Finance

- Roll-ups of auto, equipment, and mortgage-servicing platforms will accelerate.
- Expect more insurer-backed acquisitions of specialty finance platforms, aligning origination with liability-matching portfolios (McKinsey, 2025).



- Regulatory guardrails in BNPL and consumer lending will force sub-scale exits, consolidating market share with compliant leaders (EY, 2025).

Cross-Cutting Forces to Watch

Private Capital Dominance

PE and sovereign wealth funds are now systemic players in FS. Their dry powder (>USD 2.5trn globally) ensures liquidity, but longer hold periods and operational value creation will reshape deal strategies (McKinsey, 2025).

Technology Spend as Strategic Driver

AI adoption and cyber resilience are no longer discretionary. Firms unable to fund these investments organically will seek M&A, especially in payments and banking.

Regulatory Tone

US: SLR reform could be the single biggest catalyst of bank consolidation (PwC, 2025).

EU: Stronger focus on solvency and anti-concentration scrutiny in insurance.

Asia: Licensing of digital banks and tighter BNPL/consumer credit frameworks will shape deal dynamics.

Macro Environment

Interest rates are stabilising, but geopolitical volatility remains (trade fragmentation, sanctions regimes, energy-linked inflation). This creates both pressure (funding costs) and opportunity (valuation resets).

Conclusion

Financial services in 2025 sits at an inflection point. The sector remains the backbone of the global economy, but its structure is being rapidly reconfigured by technology, capital, and regulation.



- Megadeals are back: Consolidation at the top end is reshaping banking, payments, and insurance, creating a bifurcated market where winners pull away from sub-scale competitors (PwC, 2025; EY, 2025).
- Private capital is decisive: PE, private credit, and sovereign funds are no longer peripheral – they are central forces dictating who can buy, how fast they can move, and at what price (McKinsey, 2025; Bain, 2025).
- Technology is the competitive fault line: From payments rails to AI-driven underwriting, firms that fail to modernise risk obsolescence. M&A is the fastest route to acquire capabilities that cannot be built quickly in-house (KPMG, 2025).
- Regulation is shifting: Once purely a brake, capital rules (like the US SLR) are being recalibrated into catalysts for growth. Regulatory tone now shapes not just deal feasibility but sector-wide competitive dynamics (PwC, 2025).

Why This Matters

- For corporates: M&A is no longer optional. Scale, solvency, and technology cannot be achieved organically at sufficient speed. Executives must pursue deals with discipline, structuring around capital, regulatory, and integration risks.
- For investors: FS offers a blend of stable, recurring revenues and transformative growth themes (payments, private credit, wealth platforms). But underwriting must go beyond averages to account for regulatory audits, technology integration, and macro headwinds.
- For policymakers: The sector's consolidation shapes access to credit, retirement security, and systemic resilience. Balancing competitiveness with consumer protection is the defining regulatory challenge.
- For society: Every deal matters. Bank mergers affect SME lending; insurer consolidation affects retirement outcomes; payments roll-ups affect the cost and resilience of digital commerce.

In short, financial services M&A in 2025 is not opportunistic – it is structural. It is how banks, insurers, asset managers, and fintechs are rewriting their business models to survive and thrive in an era defined by technology, capital flows, and geopolitical fragmentation. Looking ahead, expect the end of 2025 and 2026 to accelerate this transformation. The winners will be those who:



- Secure scarce technology and funding assets early.
- Blend short-term cash-flow stability with long-term growth bets.
- Navigate regulation proactively, turning compliance into competitive advantage.
- Execute integrations with speed, precision, and cultural sensitivity.

For dealmakers, the opportunity is immense – but so are the risks. In the next cycle, success will not be measured by deal size alone, but by how well acquisitions are integrated to build resilient, technology-enabled, and globally competitive financial institutions.



Bibliography

Bain & Company (2025) Global M&A Report 2025. Bain & Company. Available at: <https://www.bain.com/insights/global-ma-report-2025/> (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

EY (2025) Global Insurance M&A Trends 2025. Ernst & Young Global Limited. Available at: https://www.ey.com/en_gl/insurance/global-insurance-ma-trends-2025 (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

EY (2025) Global Asset and Wealth Management M&A Trends 2025. Ernst & Young Global Limited. Available at: https://www.ey.com/en_gl/asset-management/global-asset-wealth-management-ma-trends-2025 (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

EY (2025) Banking and Capital Markets M&A Update 2025. Ernst & Young Global Limited. Available at: https://www.ey.com/en_gl/banking-capital-markets/ma-update-2025 (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

EY (2025) Global Financial Services M&A Analysis (H1 2025). Ernst & Young Global Limited.

KPMG (2025) Financial Services M&A Trends Report 2025. KPMG International. Available at: <https://kpmg.com/us/en/articles/mergers-acquisitions-trends-financial-services.html> (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

McKinsey & Company (2025) Global Private Markets Review 2025: Private Capital Reaches New Heights. McKinsey & Company. Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/private-equity-and-principal-investors/our-insights/global-private-markets-review-2025> (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

McKinsey & Company (2025) Global Banking & Payments Insights 2025. McKinsey & Company.

PwC (2025) Global Financial Services M&A Outlook 2025. PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited. Available at: <https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/services/deals/trends/financial-services-ma-outlook-2025.html> (Accessed: 18 August 2025).

